

## ON SUM SETS OF SIDON SETS, II

BY

P. ERDŐS, A. SÁRKÖZY\* AND V.T. SÓS\*

*Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
Budapest, P.O.B. 127, H-1364, Hungary*

## ABSTRACT

It is proved that there is no Sidon set selected from  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  whose sum set contains  $c_1 N^{1/2}$  consecutive integers, but it may contain  $c_2 N^{1/3}$  consecutive integers. Moreover, it is shown that a finite Sidon set cannot be well-covered by generalized arithmetic progressions.

1. The set of the real numbers, integers, resp. positive integers will be denoted by  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$ .  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \dots$  will denote (finite or infinite) subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and their counting functions will be denoted by  $A(N), B(N), \dots$  so that, e.g.,

$$A(n) = |\{a: a \leq n, a \in \mathcal{A}\}|.$$

For  $g \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B_2[g]$  denotes the class of all (finite or infinite) sets  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that for every integer  $n$ , the equation

$$(1.1) \quad a + a' = n, \quad a \leq a', \quad a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$$

has at most  $g$  solutions. The sets  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $\mathcal{A} \in B_2[1]$  are called Sidon sets, i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Sidon set if the sums  $a + a'$  with  $a \leq a'$ ,  $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$  are distinct. An excellent account of the theory of Sidon sets and  $B_2[g]$  sets is given in [4] (see [1] for a more recent result). For  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$  we write  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$  (where  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$  is the set of the integers that can be represented in the form  $a + a'$  with  $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$ ). The counting function of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$  will be denoted by  $S_{\mathcal{A}}(N)$ .

---

\* Research partially supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research, Grant No. 1901.

Received August 3, 1993

For  $m, \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \in \mathbb{Z}$  the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \mathcal{P}(e, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m; \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_m) \\ &= \{n: n = e + x_1 f_1 + \dots + x_m f_m, x_i \in \{1, \dots, \ell_i\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\} \end{aligned}$$

is called a generalized arithmetic progression of dimension  $m$ , and the quantity

$$Q(\mathcal{P}) = \ell_1 \ell_2 \dots \ell_m$$

is called the size of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

$c_1, c_2, \dots$  will denote positive absolute constants. If  $f(n) = O(g(n))$ , then we write  $f(n) \ll g(n)$ .

2. Clearly, for a finite set  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad 2|\mathcal{A}| - 1 \leq |\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}| \leq \binom{|\mathcal{A}|}{2} + |\mathcal{A}|$$

where  $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}|$  is equal to the upper bound if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Sidon set. Freiman [3] studied the structure of the sum set  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$  under the assumption that

$$(2.2) \quad |\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}| < \alpha |\mathcal{A}|$$

where  $\alpha$  is fixed and  $|\mathcal{A}| \rightarrow +\infty$ . He showed that it follows from this assumption that  $\mathcal{A}$  and thus also  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$  must have a nice structure:  $\mathcal{A}$  can be well-covered by a generalized arithmetic progression. Indeed, there exist numbers  $c_1 = c_1(\alpha)$ ,  $c_2 = c_2(\alpha)$  such that (2.2) implies the existence of a generalized arithmetic progression  $\mathcal{P}$  of dimension  $m \leq c_1$  with  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}$  and  $Q(\mathcal{P}) \leq c_2 |\mathcal{A}|$ .

In Part I [2] of this paper we studied the other extreme case when  $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}|$  is close to the upper bound in (2.1), i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Sidon set or “nearly” Sidon set. In particular, we estimated the number of integers  $n$  with  $n - 1 \notin \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ ; moreover, we studied the size of the gaps between the consecutive elements of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$  (both for Sidon sets  $\mathcal{A}$ ).

In this paper, first we will continue the study of the structure of sum sets of Sidon sets by estimating the length of blocks of consecutive integers in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . In the second half of the paper we will show that a Sidon set has an “antistructure” in the Freiman sense (our results in Part I point to the same direction), namely, it cannot be well-covered by generalized arithmetic progressions.

3. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a (finite or infinite) Sidon set and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , then let  $h(\mathcal{A}, N)$  denote the greatest integer  $h$  such that there is an integer  $m$  with  $m \leq N$  and  $m + 1 \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $m + 2 \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}, \dots, m + h \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Moreover, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  write

$$H(N) = \max h(\mathcal{A}, N)$$

where the maximum is taken over all Sidon sets  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{A} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . We will show that

$$(3.1) \quad N^{1/3} \ll H(N) \ll N^{1/2}.$$

(We remark that the upper bound seems to be closer to the truth; unfortunately, we have not been able to improve on the lower bound.)

First we will prove the upper bound in (3.1) in the following much sharper form:

**THEOREM 1:** *Assume that  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  is a Sidon set. Then for all  $K \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have*

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(K + L) - \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(K) < \frac{1}{2}L + 7L^{1/2}N^{1/4}.$$

Applying Theorem 1 with  $L = [200N^{1/2}]$ , we obtain

**COROLLARY 1:** *For  $N > N_0$  we have*

$$H(N) < 200N^{1/2}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1:* We need the following fact: if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Sidon set, then we have

$$(3.3) \quad A(X + Y) - A(X) \leq 2Y^{1/2} \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathbb{R}, Y \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed, if  $\mathcal{A} \cap (X, X + Y] = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t\}$ , then the  $\binom{t}{2} + t$  sums  $a_i + a_j$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq t$  are distinct, and all these sums belong to the interval  $(2X, 2X + 2Y]$  of length  $2Y$  which implies (3.3).

(3.2) is trivial for  $L \leq N^{1/2}$ , thus we may assume that

$$(3.4) \quad L > N^{1/2}.$$

Let  $U = [L^{1/2}N^{1/4}] + 1$ , and write  $I_m = [m - U + 1, m]$  and

$$|\mathcal{A} \cap I_m| = |\{a : a \in \mathcal{A}, m - U < a \leq m\}| = x_m$$

for  $m = 1, 2, \dots, N + U - 1$ . Here we count every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  for exactly  $U$  values of  $m$ , thus in view of (3.3) we have

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{N+U-1} x_m = U|\mathcal{A}| \leq 2UN^{1/2}.$$

We will count the number  $T$  of the triples  $(a, a', m)$  with

$$(3.6) \quad a, a' \in \mathcal{A} \cap I_m, \quad a < a'.$$

If we fix  $m$ , then a pair  $a, a'$  satisfying (3.6) can be selected in  $\binom{x_m}{2}$  ways. Thus by (3.5) we have

$$(3.7) \quad T = \sum_{m=1}^{N+U-1} \binom{x_m}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{N+U} x_m^2 - UN^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, if we fix a pair  $(a, a')$  with  $0 < a' - a < U$  in (3.6), then clearly,  $m$  in (3.6) may assume the  $U - (a' - a)$  values  $m = a', a' + 1, \dots, a' + (U - (a' - a) - 1)$ . Thus we have

$$T = \sum_{\substack{a, a' \in \mathcal{A} \\ 0 < a' - a < U}} (U - (a' - a)).$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Sidon set, thus

$$a' - a = i, \quad a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$$

has at most one solution for all  $i$ . Thus we have

$$(3.8) \quad T \leq \sum_{i=1}^{U-1} (U - i) = \frac{(U - 1)U}{2} \leq \frac{U^2}{2}.$$

By (3.7) and (3.8) we have

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{N+U-1} x_m^2 \leq U^2 + 2UN^{1/2}.$$

It follows that there is an integer  $t$  with  $-U < t \leq 0$  and

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{\substack{m \equiv t \pmod{U} \\ 1 \leq m < N+U}} x_m^2 \leq U + 2N^{1/2}.$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor (N - t + U - 1)/U \rfloor$ , write

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A} \cap I_{t+iU}$$

and

$$y_i = |\mathcal{A}_i| = x_{t+iU}$$

so that by (3.10) we have

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (N-t+U-1)/U \rfloor} y_i^2 \leq U + 2N^{1/2}.$$

Let  $M$  denote the set of the pairs  $(a, a')$  with

$$(3.12) \quad a, a' \in \mathcal{A}, \quad K < a + a' \leq K + L.$$

Clearly, for each of these pairs  $a, a'$  there is a unique pair  $i, j$  with

$$(3.13) \quad a \in \mathcal{A}_i, \quad a' \in \mathcal{A}_j$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad (\mathcal{A}_i + \mathcal{A}_j) \cap \{K + 1, K + 2, \dots, K + L\} \neq \emptyset.$$

For fixed  $i, j$ , the number of pairs  $a, a'$  satisfying (3.13) is  $y_i y_j$ , thus the total number of the pairs  $(a, a') \in M$  is

$$(3.15) \quad |M| \leq \sum_i \sum_j y_i y_j \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j (y_i^2 + y_j^2)$$

where  $i, j$  run over all pairs satisfying (3.14). Clearly, for fixed  $i$ , (3.14) may hold for at most  $\lfloor L/U \rfloor + 2$  (consecutive) values of  $j$ , and similarly, for fixed  $j$ ,  $i$  may assume at most  $\lfloor L/U \rfloor + 2$  distinct values. Thus in view of (3.4) and (3.11), we obtain from (3.15) that

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} |M| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2(\lfloor L/U \rfloor + 2) \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (N-t+U-1)/U \rfloor} y_i^2 \leq \left(\frac{L}{U} + 2\right)(U + 2N^{1/2}) \\ &= L + (2U + 4N^{1/2}) + 2\frac{LN^{1/2}}{U} < L + 10L^{1/2}N^{1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $s \in \mathcal{S}_A \cap (K, K + L]$ , then either  $s$  has two representations in the form  $s = a + a'$  with integers  $a, a'$  satisfying (3.12) and  $a \neq a'$ , or  $s$  is of the form  $s = 2a$  with  $a \in \mathcal{A} \cap (K/2, (K + L)/2]$  and then (3.12) holds with  $a' = a$ . Thus in view of (3.3) and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_A(K + L) - \mathcal{S}_A(K) &\leq \frac{1}{2}(|M| + (A((K + L)/2) - A(K/2))) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( L + 10L^{1/2}N^{1/4} + 2([L/2] + 1)^{1/2} \right) < \frac{1}{2}L + 7L^{1/2}N^{1/4} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

4. In this section, we will show that

$$H(N) \gg N^{1/3}.$$

Indeed, we will prove this in the following sharper form:

**THEOREM 2:** *There is an infinite Sidon set  $\mathcal{A}$  such that for  $n > n_0$  we have*

$$(4.1) \quad h(\mathcal{A}, n) > \frac{1}{50}n^{1/3}.$$

*Of course, this implies*

$$H(N) > \frac{1}{50}N^{1/3} \quad \text{for } N > N_0.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2:* We will define an infinite sequence of sets  $\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1, \dots$  with the following properties: writing  $\mathcal{A}_k = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_j$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_k &\subset \{1, 2, \dots, 8^k\}, \\ |\mathcal{A}_k| &\leq 2^{k-1}, \\ \mathcal{A}_k &\text{ is a Sidon set,} \end{aligned}$$

and, for  $k \geq 5$ ,

$$(4.2) \quad 6 \cdot 8^{k-1} + i \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}_k} = \mathcal{A}_k + \mathcal{A}_k \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{k-3}.$$

Indeed, let  $\mathcal{B}_k = \{8^k + 1\}$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . If  $\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_{k-1}$  (and thus also  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k$ ) have been defined, then we define the set  $\mathcal{B}_k = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_u\}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_k &\subset \{8^k + 1, 8^k + 2, \dots, 8^{k+1}\}, \\ |\mathcal{B}_k| &= 2u \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{k-1}, \\ \mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{B}_k &\text{ is a Sidon set} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$6 \cdot 8^k + i \in (\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{B}_k) + (\mathcal{A}_k \cup \mathcal{B}_k) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{k-2}.$$

The elements  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_u$  of  $\mathcal{B}_k$  are defined recursively by using the greedy algorithm. In each step we define two further  $b$ 's. Assume that

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \leq j \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{k-2} - 1$$

(the case  $j = 0$ , i.e., the construction of  $b_1, b_2$  is included), and  $b_1, \dots, b_{2j}$  have been defined so that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\{b_1, \dots, b_{2j}\} \subset \{8^k + 1, \dots, 8^{k+1}\}, \\ &\mathcal{A}_k \cup \{b_1, \dots, b_{2j}\} \text{ is a Sidon set} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad 6 \cdot 8^k + i \in (\mathcal{A}_k \cup \{b_1, \dots, b_{2j}\}) \cup (\mathcal{A}_k \cup \{b_1, \dots, b_{2j}\}) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, j.$$

If (4.5) holds for all  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{k-2}$ , then the construction terminates, i.e., we take  $\mathcal{B}_k = \{b_1, \dots, b_{2j}\}$ . If there is an  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{k-2}$  and (4.5) does not hold, then we consider the smallest  $i$ , say  $i_0$ , with these properties. Then it suffices to show that there is an

$$(4.6) \quad x \in \{1, 2, \dots, 8^k\}$$

such that writing

$$(4.7) \quad b_{2j+1} = 3 \cdot 8^k - x, \quad b_{2j+2} = 3 \cdot 8^k + i_0 + x,$$

these numbers can be added to the set  $\{b_1, \dots, b_{2j}\}$ , i.e.,

$$(4.8) \quad (\mathcal{A}_k \cup \{b_1, \dots, b_{2j}\}) \cup \{b_{2j+1}, b_{2j+2}\} \text{ is a Sidon set.}$$

((4.4) and (4.5), both with  $j + 1$  in place of  $j$ , follow trivially from (4.7) and (4.8).) Write  $\mathcal{D}_j = \mathcal{A}_k \cup \{b_1, \dots, b_{2j}\}$  so that, by (4.3), we have

$$(4.9) \quad |\mathcal{D}_j| \leq |\mathcal{A}_k| + 2j \leq 2^{k-1} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{k-1} - 2 < \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{k+1}.$$

If an  $x$  satisfying (4.6) is “bad”, i.e., (4.8) does not hold for this  $x$ , then there are  $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathcal{D}_j$  such that

$$d_1 + d_2 = d_3 + b_{2j+1}, \quad d_1 + d_2 = d_3 + b_{2j+2} \quad \text{or} \quad d_1 + b_{2j+1} = d_2 + b_{2j+2}$$

holds ( $d_1 + d_2 = b_{2j+1} + b_{2j+2}$  is impossible by the definition of  $i_0$ ). Each of these equations eliminates at most  $|\mathcal{D}_j|^3$  “bad”  $x$  values, thus by (4.9), the total number of the “bad”  $x$  values is

$$\leq 3 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^{k+1}\right)^3 < 8^k.$$

Thus there is at least one “good”  $x$  satisfying (4.6) which completes the definition of  $\mathcal{B}_k$ .

Finally, clearly  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}_k$  is a set of the desired properties ((4.1) follows from (4.2)) and this completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

5. In order to study coverings of sum sets of (“nearly”) Sidon sets by generalized arithmetic progressions, first we have to introduce a measure of well-covering of this type. Such a measure was introduced by Erdős about 30 years ago in the one-dimensional special case. For a finite set  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$  and for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the coverings

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{A} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^T \mathcal{P}_i, \quad \dim \mathcal{P}_i = m \quad (\text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

of  $\mathcal{A}$  by generalized arithmetic progressions of dimension  $m$ , and write

$$D_m(\mathcal{A}) = \min T \sum_{i=1}^T Q(\mathcal{P}_i)$$

where the minimum is taken over all coverings of the form (5.1) of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Clearly, for every finite  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$  and all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$|\mathcal{A}| \ll D_m(\mathcal{A}) \ll |\mathcal{A}|^2.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote the set of the squares  $x^2$  with  $x^2 \leq n$  by  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Erdős conjectured that for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$  we have

$$(5.2) \quad D_1(\mathcal{M}_n) > n^{1-\varepsilon}.$$



Sárközy [7] proved this by showing that

$$(5.3) \quad D_1(\mathcal{M}_n) \gg \frac{n}{(\log n)^2}.$$

(Note that probably we have  $D_1(\mathcal{M}_n) \gg n$ , however, (5.3) has not been improved yet.)

Using the measure  $D_m(\mathcal{A})$  of well-covering, a consequence of Freiman's result cited in Section 2 can be formulated in the following way: for  $\alpha > 0$  there exist  $c_3 = c_3(\alpha)$ ,  $c_4 = c_4(\alpha)$  such that assuming (2.2), for some  $m < c_3$  we have

$$D_m(\mathcal{A}) < c_4|\mathcal{A}|.$$

Here our goal is to show that in the other extreme case when  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Sidon set or, more generally,  $B_2[g]$  set, then  $\mathcal{A}$  cannot be well-covered by generalized arithmetic progressions:

**THEOREM 3:** *If  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is finite,  $g \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and*

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{A} \subset B_2[g],$$

*then we have*

$$(5.5) \quad D_m(\mathcal{A}) > \frac{1}{2^{m+1}g}|\mathcal{A}|^2.$$

Putting  $g = 1$  here, we obtain

**COROLLARY 1:** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite Sidon set, then*

$$D_m(\mathcal{A}) > \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}|\mathcal{A}|^2.$$

Moreover, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$ ,  $1 \leq u \leq n$  the number of solutions of

$$x^2 + y^2 = u, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{N}$$

is

$$\leq d(u) \leq \exp\left((1 + \varepsilon) \log 2 \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right).$$

Thus it follows from Theorem 3 that for  $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$  we have

$$D_m(\mathcal{M}_n) > 2^{-m}n \exp\left(-(1 + \varepsilon) \log 2 \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right)$$

which, for  $m = 1$ , proves (5.2) but it is weaker than (5.3).

*Proof of Theorem 3:* Assume that

$$(5.6) \quad \mathcal{A} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^T \mathcal{P}_i$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}(e^{(i)}, f_1^{(i)}, \dots, f_m^{(i)}; \ell_1^{(i)}, \dots, \ell_m^{(i)})$  and write

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{P}_i \quad (\text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, T).$$

Consider all the pairs  $(a, a')$  with  $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}_i, a \leq a'$ . The number of these pairs is

$$\binom{|\mathcal{A}_i|}{2} + |\mathcal{A}_i| > \frac{|\mathcal{A}_i|^2}{2},$$

and for each of these pairs  $(a, a')$  we have

$$a + a' \in \mathcal{P}_i + \mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}(2e^{(i)} + f_1^{(i)} + \dots + f_m^{(i)}, f_1^{(i)}, \dots, f_m^{(i)}; 2\ell_1^{(i)} - 1, \dots, 2\ell_m^{(i)} - 1).$$

Thus denoting the number of solutions of (1.1) by  $r(n)$ , we have

$$(5.7) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}_i + \mathcal{P}_i} r(n) \geq |\{(a, a') : a, a' \in \mathcal{A}_i, a \leq a'\}| > \frac{|\mathcal{A}_i|^2}{2}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (5.4) that

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}_i + \mathcal{P}_i} r(n) &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathcal{P}_i + \mathcal{P}_i} g \leq gQ(\mathcal{P}_i + \mathcal{P}_i) \\ &= g \prod_{j=1}^m (2\ell_j^{(i)} - 1) < g \prod_{j=1}^m 2\ell_j^{(i)} = 2^m gQ(\mathcal{P}_i). \end{aligned}$$

By (5.7) and (5.8) we have

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^T |\mathcal{A}_i|^2 < 2^{m+1} g \sum_{i=1}^T Q(\mathcal{P}_i).$$

By Cauchy's inequality and (5.6), we have

$$(5.10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^T |\mathcal{A}_i|^2 \geq \frac{1}{T} \left( \sum_{i=1}^T |\mathcal{A}_i| \right)^2 \geq \frac{|\mathcal{A}|^2}{T}.$$

It follows from (5.9) and (5.10) that

$$T \sum_{i=1}^T Q(\mathcal{P}_i) > 2^{-m-1} g^{-1} |\mathcal{A}|^2$$

which proves (5.5). ■

6. In this section we will show that Theorem 3 is nearly sharp:

**THEOREM 4:** *For all  $g, m, q \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist finite sets  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$(6.1) \quad |\mathcal{A}| = 2gq,$$

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{A} \subset B_2[g]$$

and

$$(6.3) \quad D_m(\mathcal{A}) \leq \frac{1}{2g} |\mathcal{A}|^2.$$

*Proof:* Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_q\}$  be a Sidon set with  $|\mathcal{E}| = q$  and

$$(6.4) \quad |e_i + e_j - e_u - e_v| > (4g)^{q+2} \quad \text{for all } i < u \leq v < j.$$

(Such a Sidon set  $\mathcal{E}$  can be obtained by taking a Sidon set of cardinality  $q$ , and then multiplying each element of it by  $2 \cdot (4g)^{q+2}$ .) Then for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_i$  denote the  $m$ -dimensional generalized arithmetic progression

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}(e_i - (4g)^i - (m - 1), (4g)^i, 1, \dots, 1; 2g, 1, \dots, 1)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \{e_i, e_i + (4g)^i, e_i + 2(4g)^i, \dots, e_i + (2g - 1)(4g)^i\},$$

and let

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^q \mathcal{P}_i.$$

Then (6.1) holds trivially. Moreover, the union on the right hand side of (6.5) is a covering of  $\mathcal{A}$  of the form (5.1) by  $m$ -dimensional arithmetic progressions, thus by (6.1) we have

$$D_m(\mathcal{A}) \leq q \sum_{i=1}^q Q(\mathcal{P}_i) = q|\mathcal{A}| = \frac{1}{2g} |\mathcal{A}|^2$$

which proves (6.3).

It remains to show that (6.2) also holds. Assume that

$$(6.6) \quad a_1 + a_2 = a_3 + a_4$$

and  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathcal{A}$  so that

$$(6.7) \quad a_1 \in \mathcal{P}_w, \quad a_2 \in \mathcal{P}_x, \quad a_3 \in \mathcal{P}_y, \quad a_4 \in \mathcal{P}_z, \quad w \leq x, \quad y \leq z$$

can be assumed. Then it follows from (6.6) and the construction of  $\mathcal{A}$  that

$$|e_w + e_x - e_y - e_z| \leq 4(2g - 1)(4g)^g < (4g)^{g+2}.$$

By (6.4) and (6.7), this implies that

$$(6.8) \quad w = y, \quad x = z.$$

Since the representation of a positive integer in the number system of base  $4g$  is unique, thus by (6.6), (6.7) and (6.8), it follows from the construction that if  $a_1 \neq a_3, a_1 \neq a_4$  in (6.6), then we have  $w = y = z = x$ . Thus denoting the number of solutions of (1.1) again by  $r(n)$ ,  $r(n) > 1$  implies that  $n$  is of the form

$$(6.9) \quad (e_x + u \cdot (4g)^x) + (e_x + v \cdot (4g)^x) = n, \quad 0 \leq u \leq v \leq 2g - 1,$$

and  $r(n)$  is equal to the number of pairs  $(u, v)$  satisfying (6.9). Clearly, the number of these pairs is  $\leq g$  which proves (6.2). ■

7. There is a gap between the lower and upper bounds given in Theorems 3 and 4 which becomes greater as the dimension  $m$  increases. In order to tighten this gap, one would need a possibly sharp estimate for the cardinality of a maximal  $B_2[g]$  set selected from a given generalized arithmetic progression of dimension  $m$ . The first difficulty is here that much less is known on  $B_2[g]$  sets, than on Sidon sets. There is an even more serious difficulty: even in the special case  $g = 1$ , i.e., in case of Sidon sets, only very weak estimates are known for  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  (cf. [5,6]). Correspondingly, the following question cannot be answered at present: Is it true that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $Q_0 = Q_0(\varepsilon)$  such that if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a generalized arithmetic progression with  $Q(\mathcal{P}) > Q_0$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Sidon set with  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}$ , then  $|\mathcal{A}| < (Q(\mathcal{P}))^{(1/2)+\varepsilon}$ ?

Moreover, we remark that although in the most important special case  $g = m = 1$  our estimates are quite satisfactory, even in this special case there are problems that we have not been able to settle. In particular, we could not answer the following question: Is it true that if  $\mathcal{A} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  is a Sidon set with  $|\mathcal{A}| \gg N^{1/2}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  cannot be covered by  $\ll N^{1/4}$  (one dimensional) arithmetic progressions of length  $[N^{1/2}]$ ?

We would like to thank the referee of this paper for the remark that this is not true for  $B_2[2]$  sets instead of Sidon sets. Indeed, let  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\}$  be a maximal Sidon set selected from  $\{1, 2, \dots, [\frac{1}{2}N^{1/2}] - 1\}$  so that  $t \gg N^{1/4}$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the set of the integers of the form  $b_i + b_j[N^{1/2}]$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ . Then clearly,  $\mathcal{A} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ ,  $|\mathcal{A}| \gg N^{1/2}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $B_2[2]$  set and  $\mathcal{A}$  can be covered by the  $t = O(N^{1/4})$  arithmetic progressions  $\{b_i + [N^{1/2}], b_i + 2[N^{1/2}], \dots, b_i + [N^{1/2}]^2\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$  of length  $[N^{1/2}]$ .

### References

- [1] P. Erdős and R. Freud, *On sums of a Sidon-sequence*, Journal of Number Theory **38** (1991), 196–205.
- [2] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy and V. T. Sós, *On sum sets of Sidon sets, I*, Journal of Number Theory **47** (1994), 329–347.
- [3] G. A. Freiman, *Foundations of a Structural Theory of Set Addition*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 37, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1973.
- [4] H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, *Sequences*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1983.
- [5] B. Lindström, *Determination of two vectors from the sum*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory **6** (1969), 402–407.
- [6] B. Lindström, *On  $B_2$ -sequences of vectors*, Journal of Number Theory **4** (1972), 261–265.
- [7] A. Sárközy, *On squares in arithmetic progressions*, Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Budapestinensis de Rolando Eötvös Nominatae **25** (1982), 267–272.